Annex 2c: Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Summary information						
School	LEIGHFI	EIGHFIELD ACADEMY				
Academic Year	c Year 2016-17 Total PP budget £8,520 Date of most recent PP Review		NA			
Total number of pupils	196	Number of pupils eligible for PP	8	Date for next internal review of this strategy		

2. Cur	rrent attainment				
		Pupils eligible for PP	National outcome overall		
% of Y6	o pupils attaining ARE in Reading, Writing and Maths combined	(4) 25% (24) 46%	53%		
% maki	ing expected progress in reading	(4) 75% (22) 45%			
% maki	ing expected progress in writing	(4) 25% (22) 9%			
% making expected progress in maths (4) 25% (22) 18%					
3. Bar	riers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)				
In-scho	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langua	ge skills)			
	Contextual Information Children are very individual and two are new starters this term. Two children are very individual and two are new starters this term.	dren are Forces PP. 7out of 8 p	upils have joined the school		
	from Y2 onwards. 25% of pupils have SEND challenges. Working from KS1 APS outcomes, analysis shows pupils to be making (private education / Forces challenges)	expected progress. Two pupils o	lo not have KS1 outcomes		
Α.	One child in Y5 and one in Y4 borderline and will require intervention in literacy (reading and writing) to accelerate progress to reach ARE within each year group. Provision mapping shows in class intervention activities planned by the teacher and delivered by either the teacher or the TA.				

В.	With support, one child in Y6 may achieve ARE with depth in Maths – additional support to be provided through in class support and intervention from the teacher or support staff under teacher guidance.					
Ex	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
C.	Individual home circumstances are supported through school's Safeguarding procedures, Children's Care and family link (including Team Around the Family) services as appropriate.					
4. C	4. Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured) Success criteria					
Α.	SEMH needs to be addressed to enable learning to take place	Increased concentration and application. Low level disruption ceases. Behavioural changes indicate SEMH needs are being addressed.				
В.	Progress will be in line with expectations in English and Maths					

5. Planned expen	diture				
Academic year	2016 - 201	7			
The three headings targeted support an		· · · · · ·	using the Pupil Premium to impr	ove classroon	n pedagogy, provide
i. Quality of teac	hing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Expected or better progress in English and Maths	Quality first teaching supported by TA intervention	Prior experience of children's progress	Monitoring and evaluation of teaching and learning to include lesson observations, pupil work reviews, pupil interviews, planning scrutinies	HT Subject leaders	Termly
Total budgeted cost					£3000
ii. Targeted supp	ort				•
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

			Total bu	udgeted cost	£600
Inclusion of PP pupils in a wide range of school activities	Financial support for trips and residentials	This supports the development of resilience, independence, vocabulary and self-esteem.	Procedures in place for fair and equitable allocation of funding	HT	Ongoing
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
iii. Other approac	hes		Total bu	udgeted cost	£5000
concentration and reduced disruption	support Inclusion worker support TAF 1:1 TA support Carefully planned and differentiated activities and expectations	Inclusion staff To manage erratic behaviours To accelerate learning where possible	evaluation of impact		
PP children's progress is accelerated in areas of current weakness Increased	Teachers plan and deliver intervention programmes with TA support Behaviour plan	We believe that the most disadvantaged pupils will gain best benefit from the direct input of our best qualified staff. We also believe that TA support has a positive impact on learning Advice taken from Social Care	Termly meetings about provision mapping Pupil progress reviews each term Day to day monitoring and	SLT	Termly Ongoing

Previous Academic Year		2014 - 2015			
i. Quality of teac	hing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost	
Expected or better progress in English and Maths	Quality first teaching supported by TA intervention	In Year 6 Pupil Premium children made better progress overall from KS1 starting points than non-PP children. This was mirrored in other year groups with the exception of those children for whom significant SEN needs were a limiting factor.	The approach was successful and will be continued in 2016 – 2017. Improvements may be made through the more focussed use of formative assessment to determine next steps and through the use of prior assessment outcomes to drive targets.	£5000	
ii. Targeted supp	ort			-	
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost	
PP children's progress is accelerated in areas of current weakness	Withdrawal of PP children to work with TAs on targeted interventions	 More limited than expected because: Unfamiliarity with individual pupil needs Difficulties in maintaining regular and fruitful communication between teacher and TA involved Inconsistencies due to child's learning in between sessions not always taken into account 	The intervention would have been more successful if kept to within the class and under the leadership and management of the child's class teacher	£5000	
PP children's progress is accelerated in areas of current weakness	Teachers plan and deliver intervention programmes with TA support	Expected impact achieved – all children involved made progress in line with or above targets except where significant SEN challenges impacted on progress.	This was a successful approach and will be used again next year.	£4000	

iii. Other approaches						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		
Inclusion of PP pupils in a wide range of school activities	Financial support for trips and residentials	All children engaged positively in all activities. Families appreciated the support without which they may not have engaged.	This is a low cost strategy that makes a positive impact both socially and educationally to individual pupils. We shall continue this strategy in 2016 - 2017	£600		
		Pupil could identify ways in which they had benefitted from their experiences				

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.

As evidence the school has used the following:

- Analysis of progress and attainment data
- Analysis of in-school monitoring and evaluation exercises
- Multi-agency meeting outcomes
- The views of other professionals working alongside us to support Pupil Premium pupils' individual needs
- Pupil voice surveys
- Opinions shared by family members